



MIRZA & RAJA

ADVOCATES AND CONSULTANTS

365-B, Street 33, F 11/2, Islamabad

Phone: 92-51-2293103

Email: Mirzaandraja@gmail.com

July 18, 2011

STATION HOUSE OFFICER (SHO)
SECRETARIAT POLICE STATION
ISLAMABAD CAPITAL TERRITORY
ISLAMABAD

Subject: Registration of FIR against John A. Rizzo

Sir

We, the undersigned would like to lodge a First Information Report (FIR) for a cognizable offence u/s 154 CrPC¹ at your police station against one John A. Rizzo who has been conspiring with others residing/working in the territorial jurisdiction of your police station in the commission of various crimes related to the murder and grievous injury committed against the undersigned or their relatives:

- ✦ the murder of **Kareem Khan**'s son and brother,
- ✦ the murder of the relatives of **Sada ullah** namely **Maut ullah Jan**,

¹ “**154. Information in cognizable cases:** information relating to the, commission of a cognizable offence if given orally to an officer incharge of a police station, shall reduced to writing by him or under his direction and then read over to the informant and every such information, whether given in writing or reduced to writing as aforesaid, shall be signed by the person giving it, and the substance thereof shall be entered in a book to be kept by such officer in such form as the Provincial Government may prescribe in this behalf.”

Sabir ud Din and Kadaan ullah Jan, as well as the injury and dismemberment of Sada ullah himself.

✦ the murder of 7 years old son of Maezol Khan

and

✦ the conspiracy to kill a large number of other Pakistani citizens.²

Each complainant also alleges the murder and other crimes against a large number of other Pakistani citizens, crimes that were committed in the course of the same conspiracy that

The narration of the law and the facts is as set out below:

John A. Rizzo worked with the CIA.

1. John A. Rizzo was, until recently, acting as the General Counsel to the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). He worked with the CIA as one of their legal counsel from the 1970s and was in that position at the time of the initial attacks on Pakistan sovereign territory. He was acting General Counsel to the CIA until June 25, 2009, when Stephen Preston was confirmed in the job.³ He continued to work with the CIA until his retirement and continued to play a role in the conspiracy described below.
2. At CIA one of his roles was to approve a list of person to be killed every month in Pakistan by the CIA using Unmanned Aerial Vehicles, more commonly known as 'drones'. John A Rizzo has already confessed of his crime publicly.
3. He was an active member of the conspiracy to commit crimes against Pakistani citizens from before the moment of the first post-9/11 drone missile attack on Pakistani soil on or about June 18, 2004 until his retirement.
4. Because he retired, apparently John A. Rizzo felt free to detail publicly his

² This FIR should be considered as a supplement and amendment to the FIR filed against Jonathan Banks on December 13, 2010.

³ See 112th Congress Report 112, *Report of the Select Committee on Intelligence, United States Senate* at 47 (covering the period January 3, 2009, to January 4, 2011), at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CRPT-112srpt3/pdf/CRPT-112srpt3.pdf> (accessed July 14, 2011).

own role in the killing that is taking place in Pakistan.⁴

5. However, John A. Rizzo has not withdrawn from the conspiracy even in retirement, and has continued to advocate for and lend assistance to the others who are involved in this conspiracy. Therefore, under American law as well as Pakistan law, he remains liable for the on-going mayhem that is being inflicted on the Pakistani people.⁵
6. John A. Rizzo recently in an interview given to the International magazine 'Newsweek' to one journalist named Tara Mckelvey in which he "discussed his role in the CIA's 'lethal operations' ... over [bottle of] Côtes du Rhone and steak in a Washington restaurant." Far from withdrawing from, and regretting, his role in the crimes, he described his part in a process where Pakistani people are –⁶

hunted down and 'blown to bits,' as Rizzo puts it."

* * *

At times, Rizzo sounded cavalier. "It's basically a hit list," he said. Then he pointed a finger at my forehead and pretended to pull a trigger. "The Predator is the weapon of choice, but it could also be someone putting a bullet in your head."

* * *

Rizzo can sometimes sound boastful. "How many law professors have signed off on a death warrant?" he asks.

7. John A. Rizzo has confessed to being guilty of murder:⁷

... the fact that Rizzo was involved in "murder," as he sometimes puts it, and that operations are planned in advance in a legalistic fashion, raises questions.

8. John A. Rizzo has described how he and others entered a detailed

⁴ See Tara McKelvey, *Inside the Killing Machine: President Obama is ordering a record number of Predator strikes. An exclusive interview with a man who approved 'lethal operations'*, Newsweek (Feb. 13, 2011) (hereinafter "Inside the Killing Machine"), at <http://www.newsweek.com/2011/02/13/inside-the-killing-machine.html>.

⁵ "In [United States] federal criminal law, in order to withdraw from a conspiracy and thereby escape liability for it, a person must have done something to interfere with the successful completion of the planned crime before any overt act was committed. It is usually not enough to have just ceased to participate in the conspiracy." *Withdrawal from Conspiracy*, <http://www.lectlaw.com/def/c179.htm> (accessed July 14, 2011).

⁶ See *Inside the Killing Machine*.

⁷ See *Inside the Killing Machine*.

conspiracy to commit murder:⁸

The cables that were “ready for prime time,” as Rizzo puts it, concluded with the following words: “Therefore we request approval for targeting for lethal operation.” There was a space provided for the signature of the general counsel, along with the word “concurring.” Rizzo says he saw about one cable each month, and at any given time there were roughly 30 individuals who were targeted. Many of them ended up dead....

9. Many other people ended up dead besides. This is part of a US policy that focuses on only one thing: how to kill people. Blindly killing everyone avoids what are considered difficult legal questions such as what to do if someone is trying to surrender.⁹

“Since the U.S. political and legal situation has made aggressive interrogation a questionable activity anyway, there is less reason to seek to capture rather than kill,” wrote American University’s Kenneth Anderson, author of an essay on the subject that was read widely by Obama White House officials. “And if one intends to kill, the incentive is to do so from a standoff position because it removes potentially messy questions of surrender.”

In committing the crime of murder and others detailed below, John A. Rizzo is clearly subject to the jurisdiction of the Courts of Pakistan.

10. John A. Rizzo is clearly subject to the jurisdiction of Pakistan. Under Section 3 of Pakistan Penal Code it is clearly stated that:

“Any person liable, by any Pakistan Law, to be tried for an offence committed beyond Pakistan shall be dealt with according to the provision of this Code for any act committed beyond Pakistan in the same manner as if such act had been committed within Pakistan.”

Therefore Islamabad Police should have no doubt about their jurisdiction as the murders were carried out on Pakistani soil, of Pakistani citizens and the conspiracy to commit these murders was coined under your police station territorial jurisdiction in aid of fugitive CIA station Chief Jonathan Banks operating from the vicinity of diplomatic enclave, Islamabad.

John A. Rizzo has been conspiring to commit a series of crimes in Pakistan and against Pakistani citizens.

⁸ See *Inside the Killing Machine*.

⁹ See *Inside the Killing Machine*.

11. John A. Rizzo is guilty of conspiracy to wage a war of aggression, to commit murder, and the various other crimes set forth below. See Pakistan Penal Code § 120. He has conspired with many other US agents, including those who have asked his permission to kill people, the other lawyers with whom he has discussed the authorization to kill, and the US intelligence or military officers with their hands on the joy-sticks of the video consoles used to guide the drones and their missiles.
12. John A. Rizzo is clearly an abettor in these various crimes: “A person abets an offence, who abets either the commission of an offence, or the commission of an act which would be an offence, if committed by a person capable by law of committing an offence with the same Intention or knowledge as that of the abettor.” Pakistan Penal Code §108.¹⁰
13. John A. Rizzo is guilty of the offence as one “cooperating” in the various

¹⁰ The explanatory notes to this aspect of a criminal offence make clear that John A. Rizzo is culpable of abetting murders that happened after he left his job:

[Illustration] A instigates B to murder C, B refuses to do so. A is guilty of abetting B to commit murder.

[Illustration] A instigates B to murder D. B in pursuance of the instigation stabs D. D recovers from the wound. A is guilty of instigating B to commit murder.

Explanation 3: It is not necessary that the person abetted should be capable by law of committing an offence, or that he should have the same guilty intention or knowledge as that of the abettor or any guilty intention or knowledge.

[Illustration] A, with the intention of murdering Z, instigates B, a child under seven years of age, to do an act which causes Z's death. B, in consequence of the abetment, does the act in the absence of A and thereby, cause Z's death. Here, though B was not capable by law of committing an offence, A is liable to be punished in the same manner as if B had been capable by law of committing an offence, and had committed murder, and he is therefore subject to the punishment of death.

[Illustration] A instigates B to instigate C to murder Z. B accordingly instigates C to murder Z, and commits that offence in consequence of B's instigation. B is liable to be punished for his offence with the punishment for murder; and as A instigated B to commit the offence, A is also liable to the same punishment. Explanation... It is not necessary to the commission of the offence of abetment by conspiracy that the abettor should concert the offence with the person who commits it. It is sufficient if he engages in the conspiracy in pursuance of which the offence is committed.

crimes. See Pakistan Penal Code § 37.¹¹

The crime of waging war against Pakistan

14. No state of declared war exists between the Islamic Republic of Pakistan and the United States of America. However, John A. Rizzo has been a party to an undeclared war of aggression against this country over a sustained period.

15. John A. Rizzo is guilty of “waging war or abetting waging of war against Pakistan” and the Pakistani people. See Pakistan Penal Code § 121.¹²

16. John A. Rizzo is guilty of “concealing with intent to facilitate design to wage war.” See Pakistan Penal Code § 123.¹³

The crime of murder and related offences.

17. John A. Rizzo is guilty of the crime of Qatl-a-Amd (murder). See Pakistan Penal Code § 300.¹⁴ It does not matter that John A. Rizzo and his conspirators almost never kill the person they intend to kill; killing anyone when planning to kill another is defined as murder. See Pakistan Penal

¹¹ “When an offence is committed by means of several acts, whoever intentionally co-operates in the commission of that offence by doing any one of those acts, either singly or jointly with any other person, commits that offence.”

¹² “Whoever wages war against Pakistan, or attempts to wage such war, or abets the waging of such war, shall be punished with death, or imprisonment for life and shall also be liable to fine.” See also Id. § 121-A (“Whoever within or without Pakistan conspires to commit any of the offences punishable by Section 121, or to deprive Pakistan of the sovereignty of her territories or of any part thereof, or conspires to overawe, by means of criminal force or the show of criminal force, the Federal Government or any Provincial Government, shall be punished with imprisonment for life, or with imprisonment of either description which may extend to ten years, and shall also be liable to fine”).

¹³ “Whoever, by any act, or by any illegal omission, conceals the existence of a design to wage war against Pakistan, intending by such concealment to facilitate or knowing it to be likely that such concealment will facilitate the waging of such war, shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to ten years, and shall also be liable to fine.”

¹⁴ “Whoever, with the intention of causing death or with the intention of causing bodily injury to a person, by doing an act which in the ordinary course of nature is likely to cause death, or with the knowledge that his act is so imminently dangerous that it must in all probability cause death, causes the death of such person, is said to commit qatl-e-amd..”

Code § 301.¹⁵

18. John A. Rizzo is guilty of Itlaf-i-salahiyyat-i-udw (destruction of bodily organs). See Pakistan Penal Code § 335.¹⁶

19. John A. Rizzo is guilty of Itlaf-i-udw (dismemberment). See Pakistan Penal Code § 333.¹⁷

20. John A. Rizzo is guilty of covering up evidence of the capital crime of murder. See Pakistan Penal Code §118.¹⁸

John A. Rizzo is guilty of war crimes and crimes against humanity

21. John A. Rizzo is also guilty of war crimes and crimes against humanity, which are universally cognizable and subject to the jurisdiction of Pakistan.

22. Under international rules and law murder may be a *crime against humanity* where it is carried out in the context of a widespread practice or pattern of misconduct.¹⁹

23. A lesser mental element is required for murder as a crime against humanity: it is sufficient for the perpetrator to cause the victim serious injury with reckless disregard for human life whether in war or peace.²⁰

¹⁵ While one punishment for murder is death, the complaining witnesses are willing to consider diyat once John A. Rizzo is in custody.

¹⁶ “Whoever destroys or permanently impairs the functioning, power or capacity of an organ of the body of another person, or causes permanent disfigurement is said to cause itlaf-i-salahiyyat-i-udw.”

¹⁷ “Whoever dismembers, amputates, severs any limb or organ of the body of another person is said to cause Itlaf-i-udw.”

¹⁸ “Whoever intending to facilitate or knowing it to be likely that he will thereby facilitate the commission of an offence punishable with death or imprisonment of life, voluntarily conceals by any act or illegal omission, the existence of design to commit such offence or makes any representation which he knows to be false respecting such design, if offence be not committed, shall, if that offence be committed, be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to seven years, or, if the offence be not committed, with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to three years; and in either case shall also be liable to fine.”

¹⁹ See *International Criminal Law* by Prof Cassese p 109 5.3. Definitions derive from Rome Statute.

²⁰ Art 7 ICC Statute and case of Akayesu 589

Murder in the context drone warfare amounts to a *war crime*.²¹

24. It is well-established that breaches of international rules entail the personal criminal responsibility of the individuals concerned and the alleged authors may be punished by any state regardless of territorial or nationality link with the victim or perpetrator under the notion of 'universal jurisdiction.' It can therefore be said that although there is no international obligation to respond to the warrant itself, there is an international obligation to respond to murder where it amounts to a crime against humanity and/or war crime.
25. There are at least two methods of enforcement. The International Criminal Court may initiate an investigation at the request of a state party, by the Prosecutor of his own motion or at the request of the UN Security Council which may lead to a prosecution. However, the U.S. has refused to ratify the Rome Statute and is therefore trying to avoid liability for John A. Rizzo and other U.S. agents.²²
26. Thus, Pakistan is under an even greater obligation to enforce international law against killers such as John A. Rizzo.
27. US legal authorities confirm that John A. Rizzo and others are guilty of war crimes:

“Georgetown University’s Gary Solis, the author of *The Law of Armed Conflict*, says people at the CIA who pilot unmanned aerial vehicles are civilians directly engaged in hostilities, an act that makes them “unlawful combatants” and possibly subject to prosecution.”²³
28. Ironically, it was John A. Rizzo who was one of the authors of the Bush-era policies that allowed the abuse of prisoners deemed to be “unlawful enemy combatants”, many of whom ended up in Guantánamo Bay. In those cases at least the U.S. asserted, albeit often without evidence, that the men had been captured in Afghanistan, fighting without wearing proper uniforms, where a war was going on. It is far clearer in his case that John A. Rizzo is an unlawful combatant since he wears no uniform, and has admitted to taking part in killing innocent civilians, including children, in an area that is not a war zone.

²¹ Cassese p81

²² Cassese p 396

²³ See *Inside the Killing Machine: President Obama is ordering a record number of Predator strikes. An exclusive interview with a man who approved 'lethal operations'*, Newsweek (Feb. 13, 2011), at <http://www.newsweek.com/2011/02/13/inside-the-killing-machine.html>.

THE FACTS UNDERLYING THE CRIMINAL OFFENCES

29. Today, a journalist from NWA released images of a large number of the victims of the crimes committed by John A. Rizzo and his cohorts. These specific crimes involve at least 27 separate drone attacks between November 29, 2008, and June 15, 2011. John A. Rizzo is legally liable for all the deaths involved in these attacks as well as many others, all of which should be investigated as part of the conspiracy involved in this case.

Murder and Mayhem committed on September 7th, 2009 (Complainant Sadaullah)

30. On September 7th, 2009, the sun was setting on Machi Khel, Mir Ali, NWA. Two drones had been appearing over the skies of Mirali Tehsil throughout the day. It was the month of Ramadan and people in the area were angry at the drones provoking fear, and interfering in their religious activities. They were scared as well, but in Pashtun culture it does not do to show one's fear, as that is viewed as cowardice.

31. Sadaullah, aged 15, was a local school student and was particularly happy that day as there was a feast planned at his house for the breaking of fast. His maternal grandfather and uncles were coming and his mother was cooking his favorite meal.

32. He saw the unmanned machine in air and joked with his friends about the 'bangana' -- a local name given to drones in the area due to a constant noise it makes.

33. In the evening the hujra of his grandfather's house was crowded as his grandfathers, uncles and cousin were gathered together for the celebration. Everyone broke their fast and proceeded to the courtyard to offer Maghrib prayer. Sadaullah was the last one to join the prayer as he had been serving everyone and so had not eaten his share of pakoras and jalebi's (evening snacks). As the people finished prayer they returned to the hujra to have the main course of their meal.

34. Sadaullah and his elder cousin, Ajman Ullah, were the last ones to finish their prayer. It was at this moment, as Sadaullah was entering the hujra, that the hellfire missiles hit the building. Sadaullah was hit by debris falling from the roof and knocked unconscious.

35. Sadaullah woke up in a hospital in Peshawar where he discovered that he would never walk again -- both his legs had been amputated and he was left with one only eye as a shrapnel fragment had penetrated the other.

36. Sadaullah lost his uncle Mautullah Jan, who had been wheelchair bound for last one decade, and was killed by the strike.
37. Sadaullah lost his cousin Kadaanullah Jan who was also killed.
38. Sadaullah lost his cousin Sabir-ud-Din who was also killed.
39. Ajman Ullah was lying on the next bed, severely injured, but fortunately did not lose his life.
40. Now Sadaullah cannot go to school. He is only able to get religious education in the Madrassah in his village. He is not hopeful for his future -- he sees none. However, he feels that the Madrassah is good for him as at least it keeps him busy.

Murder and Mayhem committed on December 31st, 2009 (complainant Kareem Khan)

41. Complainant Kareem Khan resides in Islamabad and is permanent resident of Federally Administered Tribal Area (FATA) where his family lives in Village MachiKhel, Tehsil Mir Ali, North Waziristan Agency (NWA) in his ancestral house.
42. On the night of December 31st, 2009 approximately 2100 hrs, the undersigned's ancestral house situated at Village Machikhel, Tehsil Mir Ali, NWA was attacked with missiles fired by Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) also known as Drone, which is believed to be operated by Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) in Langley, Virginia USA. The missiles were fired on the 'Hujra', which was situated within the 4 walls of undersigned's house.
43. At the time of this missile attack three people were present in the Hujra, who died due to this attack. One was undersigned's brother Asif Iqbal s/o Mir Gull Jan, CNIC NO. 21505-4498443-1.
44. The second person was undersigned's son named Zahin Ullah Khan CNIC NO. 21505-3441001-1.
45. The third person who lost his life due to this drone attack was a mason named Khaliq Dad who was working on construction of village mosque and had come from another town of Khyber Pakhtunkhawa, namely Laki Marwat and was staying in the undersigned's Hujra.
46. The drone attack further destroyed and damaged undersigned's property completely and converted the Hujra into rubble. Furthermore, main house

- was severely damaged.
47. The undersigned believes that John A. Rizzo was involved in the conspiracy to take the lives of his son and brother.
 48. John A. Rizzo was a part of an illegal clandestine spying operation throughout Pakistan but specifically in NWA.
 49. John A. Rizzo and his co-conspirators (names not known yet) threw a GPS (Global Positioning System) device at a targeted house and the Drone, which is remotely controlled from undisclosed location, strikes at the target.
 50. The names of the remote pilot of the drone and other involved is not known at the moment but can be ascertained through interrogation of John A. Rizzo.
 51. John A. Rizzo is currently at large in the United States.
 52. The undersigned believes that John A. Rizzo is guilty of committing the offences set out above.
 53. The undersigned's son and brother were innocent citizens of Islamic Republic of Pakistan and had no animosity with their assailants, neither they were involved in any activity which could have been so called reason for their murder.
 54. Asif Iqbal was a Secondary School teacher in DattaKhel since 2003 and was working in BPS 15 and was killed while in Government service.
 55. Undersigned's son Zahin ullah Khan was also a Government employee and was working as Chowkidar in Government Girls Public School Mira Khan Kot since 2008 and was killed while in Government service.

Murder and Mayhem committed on February 14th 2009 (complainant Maezol Khan)

56. On February 14th, 2009 US operated Unmanned Aerial Vehicle attacked early in the morning in the populated area of Makeen in South Waziristan Agency.
57. Maezol Khan, who is resident of Makeen, and his 8 year old son were sleeping in the courtyard and a missile shrapnel which was fired by the US operated UAV hit the child and killed him on the spot.

58. The complainant has reason to believe that the CIA former legal counsel John A Rizzo hatched the conspiracy of his son's murder along with their spies operating in Pakistan illegally in aid of former CIA Station Chief in Islamabad and signed an illegal death warrant which resulted in the killing of several people including complainant's son, who was wholly innocent. There was no reason to kill him, he was only 8 years old.

Other Murder and Mayhem for months and years

59. John A. Rizzo has been deeply involved in the conspiracy to commit murder and mayhem in Pakistan for the past several years. He was (according to his own words) directly involved in the decisions that led to the dismemberment of Sadaullah and murder of his relatives, and he was still involved in the conspiracy when Kareem Khan's son and brother were murdered.

60. He has also been involved in the on-going conspiracy, as is evidenced (in part) by the attached list of other homicides that have been committed as part of the on-going illegal war in Pakistan. See *Exhibit A*. All of these crimes are part of the same conspiracy.

BRINGING JOHN A. RIZZO TO JUSTICE THROUGH A REQUEST FOR INTERPOL INTERVENTION

61. One mechanism for the enforcement of a Pakistan arrest warrant against John A. Rizzo is by reference to Interpol. The primary function of Interpol, the international police organisation, is to facilitate cross-border police co-operation. Article 2 states that one of Interpol's main aims is to promote international assistance in law enforcement matters. Action is taken within the limits of existing laws in different countries in the spirit of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.²⁴

62. Each member country (including the US and Pakistan) has a National Central Bureau staffed by national law enforcement officers. The NCB is the designated contact point for the General Secretariat, regional offices and other member countries requiring assistance with overseas investigations and the location and apprehension of fugitives²⁵. The Pakistani NCB is the first port of call. The local NCB website states that it manages inputs for issuance of Interpol notices.²⁶

²⁴

<http://www.interpol.int/public/icpo/legalmaterials/constitution/constitutiongenreg/constitution.asp>

²⁵ <http://www.interpol.int/public/icpo/default.asp>

²⁶ http://www.fia.gov.pk/dep_interpol.htm

63. The pre-extradition procedure is conditioned by the powers conferred on the national police in application of the country, Pakistan's, extradition laws. Use of Interpol channels generally proceeds in one of two ways: either the Ministry of Interior or a magistrate needs to request the local NCB to circulate an arrest warrant internationally. Then, a lesser 'wanted notification' will be circulated to some or all NCBS or the NCB requests the General Secretariat for a 'red notice' to be issued. A 'red notice' enables the warrant to circulate worldwide with a request for arrest with a view to extradition.²⁷ Outside the European Arrest Warrant Scheme, it is the closest thing to an 'international arrest warrant' that exists. Interpol expects that most member states will grant a 'red notice' the status of a request for provisional arrest.

64. It is worth noting that:

- a. Interpol has established co-operation agreements with the International Criminal Court and United Nations
- b. Anyone can access information held by Interpol²⁸
- c. President Obama designated Interpol a public international organisation
- d. Some countries have formal arrest arrangements. For example, UK Crown Prosecution Service has appointed a CPS liaison magistrate in Pakistan. One of the reasons given was the need for co-operation with international agencies in order to effectively prosecute international crimes.²⁹

THE UNITED STATES' OBLIGATION TO EXTRADITE JOHN A. RIZZO

65. Extradition is an alternative mechanism for bringing John A. Rizzo to justice. can only be carried out pursuant to a valid treaty³⁰. There is an Extradition Treaty signed in 1931, ratified in 1932 and in force since 1935 (i.e. before the Partition) available on the UN website in their section on current Extradition Treaties; it is still in force and is described as the 'Extradition Treaty between the United States of America and Pakistan'.³¹ Pursuant to Article 3, murder is stated to be an extraditable offence.

²⁷ <http://www.interpol.int/public/wanted/default.asp>

²⁸ <http://www.interpol.int/public/ccf/acces.asp>

²⁹ http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/press_releases/117_08/

³⁰ Although this is the text book view it seems request can be made where there is no extradition treaty eg Lord Nazir re proposed extradition of Musharraf in UK

³¹ <http://internationalextraditionblog.files.wordpress.com/2011/03/pakistan.pdf>

66. The US is obliged to comply with a valid treaty on extradition and to follow an established procedure in responding to an extradition request.

CONCLUSION

67. In light of the above, it is requested that you kindly register an FIR against John A. Rizzo and others for murder and other crimes and put John A. Rizzo's name on ECL, as well as making a formal request to Interpol and to the United States to enforce an arrest warrant against him.

68. It is further stated that the registration of FIR is an inalienable right of the undersigned u/s 154 CrPC, and the accused can be tried in Islamabad according to the spirit of Section 179 CrPC.

Sadaullah S/O Haspat Ali Jan through his grandfather Haji Ramzan
R/O Village Machi Khel
Tehsil Mir Ali
North Waziristan Agency

Kareem Khan S/O Mir Gull Jan
CNIC No. 21505-5613631-1
R/O Village Machi Khel,
Tehsil Mir Ali
North Waziristan Agency

Maazol Khan
R/O Makeen
South Waziristan Agency

Through:

Mirza Shahzad Akbar
Barrister-at-law
Advocate High Court